# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

GARFIELD COUNTY (1), UTAH, and STATE OF UTAH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, and UNITED STATES BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT,

Defendants.

## MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER

- GRANTING JOINT, STIPULATED MOTION FOR CONSOLIDATED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER;
- FOR JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT; and
- TRANSFERRING CASE

Case No. 2:11-cv 1045 DN

Judge David Nuffer

This action is one of 26 similar cases recently filed in the District of Utah by the State of Utah and numerous Utah counties seeking to quiet title to alleged R.S. rights-of-way on federal land across the State (the "recently filed cases"). A list of the recently filed cases is attached as Exhibit 1. These cases include:

- a. three cases filed in November 2011;
- b. 22 cases filed in May 2012; and
- c. one case filed in June 2012.

In addition, four similar cases, which were filed in this District before the 26 recently filed cases, remain in active litigation, either in this District or on appeal before the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals (the "previously filed cases"). Those four previously filed cases are also listed in Exhibit 1:

a. San Juan County (1), Utah v. United States, 2:04-cv-552-BSJ ("San Juan County(1)") (one right-of-way claimed; presently on appeal to the Tenth Circuit);

- b. Emery County (1), Utah and State of Utah v. United States, 2:05-cv-540-DB ("Emery County (1)") (seven rights-of-way claimed);
- c. Kane County (1), Utah v. United States, 2:08-cv-315-CW ("Kane County (1)") (fifteen rights-of-way claimed); and
- d. Kane County (2), Utah v. United States, 2:10-cv-1073-RJS ("Kane County (2)") (64 rights-of-way claimed).

The recently filed cases and the previously filed cases shall be referred to collectively herein as the "R.S. 2477 cases." The case listed in Exhibit 1 as having a consent decree pending (Juab County (1), Utah and State of Utah v. United States, 2:05-cv- 00714-TC) is not included in the "R.S. 2477 cases."

The State of Utah and the relevant county plaintiffs have completed service on the Office of the United States Attorney in all 26 of the recently filed cases. The majority of these complaints have been amended and, at present, plaintiffs in these 26 actions claim a total of more than 12,000 alleged rights-of-way under R.S. 2477.

Given the thousands of claims, and numerous complex issues involved in the recently filed cases, the United States, the State of Utah, and all the county plaintiffs in the R.S. 2477 cases except for Kane County, have engaged in discussions and have entered into various stipulations to efficiently manage this voluminous litigation.

The parties have now reached agreement on a comprehensive case management proposal for the R.S. 2477 cases for approximately the next two years. A stipulated motion for consolidated case management was filed in each these cases. The consent of the parties to the motion is contingent upon the granting by the Court of the stipulated motions for consolidated case management.

It appears the R.S. 2477 cases present many related and sometimes overlapping issues. While each is factually distinct, a review of the cases suggests that numerous procedural and legal issues repeatedly arise in the cases. Common examples include case management issues, consolidation motions, discovery preservation issues, and third-party motions to intervene. The court concludes the parties to the R.S. 2477 cases, as well as interested third-parties, would benefit from a coordinated and consistent approach to resolving similar pretrial issues.

The nature of these R.S. 2477 cases is such that they may place an extraordinary strain on the scarce judicial resources available in the district. The court has an inherent interest in the efficient and orderly presentation of business before it. Particularly where, as here, a series of related cases threatens to consume a disproportionately high percentage of a court's resources, to the detriment of other parties and pending matters, courts are well-advised to invoke their inherent authority to manage their dockets and promote judicial efficiency.

Enabling one district court judge to oversee a number of these cases will permit the court to engage the parties on a more global level, to manage the timing and presentation of cases and issues, to enhance judicial efficiency, and to reduce uncertainty among the litigants and other interested parties.

THEREFORE, having carefully reviewed the Discovery Stipulation and Joint, Stipulated Motion for Consolidated Case Management Order filed in this case (Dkt. No. 43), and in order to facilitate the overall management of these cases pretrial, the court HEREBY ORDERS the following:

- The court GRANTS the Joint, Stipulated Motion for Consolidated Case Management
  Order, subject to further review as described below.
- 2. This case is active, as shown on the case list Exhibit 1.

3. This case is TRANSFERRED to the Honorable Clark Waddoups to oversee and manage all non-dispositive, pretrial matters, including without limitation, issues relating to consolidation, intervention, discovery (including referral of discovery issues), scheduling, and coordination with other R.S. 2477 cases in the district that may be transferred to him by other judges.

4. It is contemplated that Judge Waddoups may make a specific order for the status and schedule of this case after considering all R.S. 2477 cases subject to his management and Judge Waddoups's rulings shall supersede and take precedence over this Order.

5. Judge Waddoups may transfer this case back to the undersigned to address dispositive motions or other matters, or Judge Waddoups may elect to resolve them. In any event, this case is to be transferred back to the undersigned for trial.

Signed March 12, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

David Nuffer

United States District Judge

### **Exhibit 1 - Roads Cases – District of Utah**

12 March 2013

Red cases are active; Green cases are unaffected by March 2013 orders; other cases are stayed.

Case Name	Case Number	Presiding	Referral	Date Sched	Intervention	Consolidation
		Judge	Judge	Stip Filed	Pending	Pending
Recently Filed Cases						
Beaver County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-423	CW	BCW	2/28/13		
	Docket Report					
Box Elder County and State of Utah v. United	1:12-cv-105	DB		2/28/13		
States	Docket Report			(granted)		
Carbon County (1), Utah v. United States (may	2:11-cv-1043	EJF		2/28/13		
consolidate with Carbon County (2))	Docket Report					
Carbon County (2), Utah and State of Utah v.	2:12-cv-427	DB		2/28/13		
United States (may consolidate with Carbon	Docket Report			(granted)		
County (1))						
Daggett County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-447	RJS		3/1/13		
	Docket Report					
Duchesne County and State of Utah v. United	2:12-cv-425	CW	DBP	3/1/13		
States	Docket Report					
Emery County (2), Utah and State of Utah v.	2:12-cv-429	CW	EJF	2/28/13		
United States	<u>Docket Report</u>					
Garfield County (1), Utah and State of Utah v.	2:11-cv-01045	DN		2/28/13	<u>26</u> 12/31/12	
United States	<u>Docket Report</u>					
Garfield County (2), Utah and State of Utah v.	2:12-cv-478	TC		2/28/13	<u>13</u> 10/17/12	<u>51</u> 3/6/13
United States	Docket Report					
Grand County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-466	DN		2/28/13		
	Docket Report					
Iron County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-472	BSJ		3/1/13		
	Docket Report			(granted)		
Juab County (2), Utah and State of Utah v. United	2:12-cv-462	DB		2/28/13		<u>19</u> 3/5/13
States (may consolidate with Juab County(3))	Docket Report			(granted)		
Juab County (3), Utah and State of Utah v. United	2:12-cv-00584	TC		3/1/13		
States (may consolidate with Juab County(2))	Docket Report			(granted)		
Kane County (3), Utah and State of Utah v. United	2:11-cv-01031	DB	DBP			
States (including Kane County (4) by	Docket Report					
consolidation)						

page 1

Red cases are active; Green cases are unaffected by March 2013 orders; other cases are stayed.

Case Name	Case Number	Presiding Judge	Referral Judge	Date Sched Stip Filed	Intervention Pending	Consolidation Pending
Kane County (4), Utah and State of Utah v. United	2:12-cv-476	DB		_		
States (now consolidated with Kane County (3))	Docket Report					
Millard County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-451	DB		3/1/13		
	Docket Report			(granted)		
Piute County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-428	CW		3/1/13		
	Docket Report					
Rich County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-424	DN		3/1/13		
	Docket Report					
San Juan County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-467	DAK		3/1/13		
	Docket Report			(granted)		
Sanpete County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-430	DB		3/1/13		
	Docket Report			(granted)		
Sevier County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-452	DN		3/1/13	16 1/31/13	
	Docket Report					
Tooele County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-477	CW	PMW	3/1/13		
	Docket Report					
Uintah County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-461	DAK		3/1/13	<u>18</u> 2/26/13	
	Docket Report			(granted)		
Utah County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-426	CW	PMW	3/1/13		
	Docket Report					
Washington County and State of Utah v. United	2:12-cv-471	RJS		3/1/13	<u>15</u> 1/30/13	
States	Docket Report					
Wayne County and State of Utah v. United States	2:12-cv-434	DN		3/1/13	<u>18</u> 2/26/13	
	Docket Report					
Previous Cases						
San Juan County (1), Utah v. United States	2:04-cv-552	BSJ				
	Docket Report					
Emery County (1), Utah and State of Utah v.	2:05-cv-540	DB				
United States	Docket Report					
Kane County (1), Utah v. United States	2:08-cv-315	CW	BCW			
	Docket Report					
Kane County (2), Utah v. United States (may	2:10-cv-1073	RJS				<u>77</u> 2/26/13
consolidate with Kane County(3))	Docket Report					

#### Case 2:11-cv-01045-CW-TLW Document 54 Filed 03/13/13 Page 7 of 7

### Exhibit 1 - Roads Cases – District of Utah

12 March 2013

Red cases are active; Green cases are unaffected by March 2013 orders; other cases are stayed.

Case Name	Case Number	Presiding Judge	Referral Judge	Date Sched Stip Filed	Intervention Pending	Consolidation Pending
Consent Decree Pending						
Juab County (1), Utah and State of Utah v. United States	2:05-cv- 00714 <u>Docket Report</u>	TC	BCW			

page 3